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Abstract
The therapeutic approach to gingival recession requires a treatment plan involving basic therapy, which will focus on its etiologies and the most suitable periodontal plastic surgery treatment in each specific case. The Oral Biofilm control, previous periodontal stabilization, the use of magnification and microsurgical instruments to handle the tissues, bilaminar blood supply for the connective tissue graft, the release of the lower anterior frenulum, the root conditioning with enamel matrix derivative proteins, suture without tension and patient cooperation were key factors in the treatment outcome obtained. The aim of this paper is to present a clinical case of a gingival recession defect treated using a tunnelling technique with a connective tissue graft and amelogenins and its evaluation.

Introduction
Gingival recession (GR) has been defined as the exposure of the tooth root caused by the migration of the gingival margin to a point apical to the cemento-enamel junction. It can appear in its localized or generalized form and frequently compromises dental and gingival aesthetics, and causes dental hypersensitivity (1, 2).

GR has a multifactorial etiology associated with different types of factors that aid their development. It has been demonstrated that at least four groups of factors can be associated with the development of GR: anatomical factors (lack of keratinized gingiva, muscle insertion close to gingival margin, inadequate tooth alignment, thin or absent vestibular table, prominent root); factors relative to inflammatory disease (gum disease because of plaque build-up, Periodontitis); factors relative to iatrogenesis (e.g. prosthetics, orthodontic treatment); factors relative to trauma (traumatic brushing or other mechanical traumas) (3).

The elimination of causal factors and the detailed explanation provided to the patient are as important as the periodontal plastic surgery technique to implement (4, 6).

According to the first European Periodontal meeting consensus in 1994 the indications for GR treatment are
- Improvement of oral hygiene (prevention of gingivitis and root caries);
- Aesthetic or orthodontic concerns
- Hypersensitivity.

The root exposed in a GR is not necessarily compromising the tooth survival if the remanent bone is preserved and the oral biofilm is controlled. However, from a periodontal point of view, it is indicated to treat it when is progressive and/or difficult a correct oral hygiene (Freedman and cols, 1999).

In the last decades many surgical techniques for the treatment of GR have been developed (Sullivan & Atkins 1968, Langer & Langer 1985, Raetzke 1985, Allen 1994, Zabalegui 1999 among others).

The most widely accepted classification of gingival recession is Miller’s. It is based on the most apical gingival margin of the recession regarding the mucogingival junction, and on the amount of tissue loss (gingiva and bone) in interproximal areas adjacent to the recession site (7).

Complete coverage is achieved when the gingival margin is placed at the same level as the cemento-enamel junction, the gingival sulcus has a probing depth lower than 2 mm and when there is no bleeding on probing (8). The outcome of surgical treatment of gingival re-
cession is expressed as success (i.e. the average percentage of root that has been covered). The type of recession according to Miller’s classification influences the outcome of the surgical procedure. Factors related to the surgical technique used - tissue tension, flap thickness - may also influence the treatment results (Pini Prato et al. 2000).

This paper reports a clinical case of a 6 months follow up after a modified coronally advanced tunnel and connective tissue graft (Subepithelial + enamel matrix derivative proteins (EMD) or Engisio) to treat a multiple lower anterior gingival recession.

Clinical case description
A systemically healthy 35-year-old female patient, a nonsmoker, was referred to our Clinic. Her chief complaints were root sensitivity, discomfort and pain when brushing the lower anterior teeth.

The patient underwent orthodontic treatment between 2003 and 2009. The symptoms she related started after such treatment. Upon examination, the following was observed on lower anterior sextant:

Miller’s class II gingival recession in tooth #31 that showed a 4.2 mm width and 3 mm depth. Class I #41,32 showing 2 mm x 2 mm. Miller’s class II gingival recession in tooth #41. This periodontal biopsy, lack of attached gingiva (Figure 1).

Diagnosis and suggested treatment plan was explained in detail to the patient. Basic periodontal therapy and periodontal plastic surgery - Modified coronally advanced tunnel technique (MCAT) in this case (Zuhr et al. 2015). This surgical approach has the advantage of not incising into or reflecting many of the papillae within the surgical site, thereby minimizing the risk of losing papilla height in critical areas.

Root conditioning using EDTA 24% for 2 minutes. The tunnel flap was mobilized so as to allow complete coronal tissue tension-free advancement. Root conditioning using EDTA 24% for 2 minutes (PefSeal) and enamel matrix derivative proteins (EMD) was performed.

A sub-epithelial connective tissue graft (SCTG) was taken from the palate. The single incision technique was used to remove the graft (Fig. 8.1) and soaked in EMD for 5 minutes. The donor area was sutured with suture 5/0 Seokan.

The SCTG was introduced into the recipient site and fixed using 7-0 and 6-0 Polysine sutures.

This surgical approach has the advantage of not incising into or reflecting many of the papillae within the surgical site, thereby minimizing the risk of losing papilla height in critical areas.

The patient was instructed to take Ibuprofen 600mg 30 minutes before surgery, 6 hours after and every 12 hours as necessary during the following days and to use mouth rinse (0.12% chlorhexidine digluconate) twice a day for 15 minutes. Sutures in the donor area were removed after 1 week and all the rest 14 days after the procedure.

The patient was followed up weekly during the first month and monthly up to the third month. Healing was uneventful. The patient did not report pain or major discomfort during the postoperative period. The color of the tissues was homogenous 2 weeks following the surgical procedure (Fig. 10.1). Six months after the treatment, gingival stability and thickness seem adequate, which shows good hygiene of the sector and gingival tissue stability achieved with the graft. (Fig. 12.13)

Conclusions
Successful treatment outcomes require the control of all the etiological factors. Basic periodontal therapy is fundamental when treating gingival recession. Appropriate oral hygiene techniques should be implemented.

In cases where the recession causes aesthetic concerns or root hypersensitivity, surgical treatment should be recommended. Subepithelial connective tissue grafts are the gold standard in periodontal plastic surgery as they modify tissue thickness, increase keratinized gingiva and improve root cover. The MCAT can lead to predictable recession coverage of single and multiple recessions. Periodontal maintenance is essential to avoid inflammatory events which might increase recession recurrence.
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Fig 1. Initial Situation. Lower Anterior Sextant.

Fig 2. Post-Op situation after Basic Periodontal treatment.

Fig 3. Pre- Surgical situation. Lower anterior sextant.

Fig 4. Two Weeks after starting with basic treatment

Fig 5. Pre- Surgical situation. Lower anterior sextant.

Fig 6. 7. Preparation of the recipient site according to the tunneling technique.

Fig 7. Post-Op Situation after 44 days. Prior to sur- gical removal. Good tissue blending and color were observed.

Fig 8. a. Sub-Epithelial Connective Tissue graft Han- veated from the palate. Detail of the Connective Tissue graft superficially repositioned with the amelogenins (Strau- man, Engisio).

Fig 9. Follow up 6 months.

Fig 10. Post-Op Situation after 44 days. Prior to sur- gical removal. Good tissue blending and color were observed.

Fig 11. Follow up 6 months.

Fig 12. Follow up 6 months. Occlusal view

Fig 13. Follow up 6 months.

Fig 14. Comparative initial to 6 months situation
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